

 Rafiq Ibrahimov

The Military Hospital of The State Security Service of Azerbaijan Republic, Baku

Received: 11 July, 2022  
Accepted: 29 August, 2022  
Published: 30 August, 2022

**Corresponding Author:** Rafiq Ibrahimov, The Military Hospital of The State Security Service of Azerbaijan Republic, Baku  
Email: ibrahimovrafiq@mail.ru

#### CITATION

Ibrahimov R. Long-term outcomes of cabg with intra-aortic balloon pump support. AZJCVS. 2022;3(2):59-62 - DOI: 10.5455/azjcv.s.2022.07.09

© 2022 Azerbaijan Cardiovascular Surgery Society. All rights reserved.  
Copyright@Author(s) - Available online at www.azjcv.s.org  
Content of this journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.



## INTRODUCTION

Unlike the vast majority reference publications of in-hospital (1-16) and short-term (2-6 months) outcomes of CABG with IABP support (17,18), the long-term outcomes (mainly up to 15 years) are limited to as few as 9 series, which are mostly presented by analysis of the either long-term survival, or mortality. The analysis was conducted without differentiation between isolated and combined CABG with correction of MI (myocardial infarction) mechanical complications/valvular prosthesis; elective/urgent surgery (18-23). The long-term outcomes in isolated on-pump/off-pump CABG were analyzed in three series (1,4,20); the outcomes of isolated and combined CABG – in 6 series (6,7,10,11,18,19).

The analysis of long-term outcomes of CABG with IABP is complicated due to heterogeneity of the publications, which can be presented as follows: **1).** The surgery outcomes either before (20,21), or after 2000 (18,19,22-26), or consolidated results in patients underwent surgery before and after 2000

## Long-term outcomes of CABG with intra-aortic balloon pump support

### Abstract

Analyzed literature data concerning late outcomes of CABG with intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP) support in high-risk patients with acute coronary syndrome. MEDLINE, EMBASE, Scopus, The Benchmark Counterpulsation Outcomes Registry, Cochrane registry of Controlled Trials, and reference lists of relevant articles were searched. Results of surgery were matched with: 1).suitable option and time of surgery; 2).initial risk profile of patients; 3).tactic strategy, and timing of IABP connection; 4).leading risk predictors of in-hospital, and late results of surgery.

**Keywords:** Acute myocardial infarction, CABG; intra-aortic balloon pump, low cardiac output syndrome, hospital and late outcomes

(25); **2).** Consolidated outcomes in patients underwent CABG with IABP and off-pump surgery (23,26); **3).** Consolidated outcomes in patients underwent isolated or combined CABG (elective/urgent), including isolated valve prosthesis (18-23); **4).** Long-term outcomes in patients  $\leq 70$  and  $> 70$  age, with different hemodynamic status; the surgery in patients with acute myocardial infarction/unstable angina; different LVEF values, varying between  $\leq 30$ -50%; existence/absence of mechanical MI complications; chronic kidney failure/admitted to hemodialysis, or indicated after surgery; **5).** Heterogenous long-term outcomes with preventive IABP in patients with initially stable hemodynamics (18-26).

### The purpose of review

The analysis of long-term outcomes of the isolated and combined CABG with IABP in high and very high-risk patients with acute coronary syndrome.

The analysis of long-term outcomes of the CABG with IABP is complicated due to heterogeneity of the publications, which

are presented as follows: 1). Surgery outcomes either before (6,7) or after 2000 (1,10,11,18-20); 2). Consolidated analysis of outcomes patients underwent surgery before and after 2000 (4); 3). Consolidated long-term outcomes of on- and off-pump CABG (4,20); 4). The analysis of long-term surgery outcomes without differentiation of isolated and combined CABG, performed in different hemodynamic status. Bearing in mind abovementioned, during the analysis of publications on long-term outcomes of CABG with IABP we were considering the patients' initial risk profile, the mode of intervention, mode of IABP and short-term surgery outcomes, concerning the IABP as non-direct predictor of long-term outcomes.

The long-term outcomes of surgery before 2000. are clearly demonstrated by OE. Arafa et al. (1998) (6) and KS. Naunheim et al. (1992) (7), the authors were analyzing 163 and 202 survived patients on follow-up up to 15.3 and 9 years after operations performed in 1980-1989. and 1983-1990., respectively. In both series CABG was performed in 62.8% and 64.8% cases, respectively; the urgent operations – 35% and 51%, respectively; combined CABG and isolated valvular interventions – 37.2 and 35.2%, respectively.

In comparison with early mortality 52.6 and 44%, respectively, the actual survival rate during to 1;3;5 and 9 years of follow-up was 40-51%; 47%; 32.3-42%; 22-32%, respectively. The worst long-term outcomes were in surgery patients with initial high creatinine level and IABP in operational room and ICU, whereas the patients with IABP before surgery had better results. The long-term survival of surgery patients with chronic kidney failure in 1-5-9 years follow-up was respectively 17.1%; 14.6% and 9.8%; 10 years survival rate of the patients with IABP before surgery /in operation room/ in ICU was 45.1%, 20.8% and 20.3%, respectively (6). We consider that the relatively worse long-term surgery outcomes in OE. Arafa. et al series than in KS. Naunheim ones were due to prevailing number of patients in III-IV NYHA Class (2,1:1), respectively 92.7% and 43.8%; greater rate of AMI in PMH (past medical history) (2:1), respectively 64.5% and 32%; prevailing number of isolated operations on valves (1.9:1), respectively 19.5% and 10.4%. Along with early mortality rate 44% K.S. Naunheim et al detected clinical improving as I-II NYHA Class in 81% of patients during long-term follow-up (7). The predictors of long-term mortality were defined during regression analysis as IABP timing ( $p<0.01$ ), initial creatinine level ( $p<0.05$ ) and duration of cardiopulmonary by-pass ( $p<0.05$ ). The age factor was defined as borderline predictor of long-term mortality ( $p<0.06$ ) (6).

Despite of high-risk profile of the patients, rate of urgent and combined operations, the long-term outcomes of surgery with IABP were relatively improved by 2000-2019 (1,4,10,11,18-20). Urgent CABG in patients with acute MI and/or cardiogenic shock were performed in 12.3-67% cases on long-term follow-up (10,11,18-20), and have been conducted 100% of cases in E. Hemo et al. series (2014) (4). The combined operations

were performed in 11.9-16.2% cases (10,11,18,19). In-hospital mortality in long-term series after 2000 was varying between 0% and 47.6% (mean 21,9%) (1,4,10,11,18-20), respectively 0% and 1.2% in K. Nakamura et al. (2019) (20) and R. Larusso et al. (2010) (10).

Long-term survival up to 3-5 years of follow-up after isolated CABG with IABP was 97 and 100% (1,20); 10-years survival - 48.7 $\pm$ 3.5% (4). Consolidated survival up to 3 and 5 years after isolated and combined CABG was 72.7% and 79.2% (18,19), the better outcomes were detected in R. Lorusso et al. (2010) series (10), which were 91.7 $\pm$ 3.1% and 84.3 $\pm$ 5.5% in 5 and 8 years of follow-up, respectively; relatively worse outcomes on long-term survival in H. Kamiya et al. (2016) (11) series were 56.6% and 46.8% in 1 and 5 years of follow-up, respectively.

The analysis showed that the long-term outcomes of CABG with IABP are more depending on the initial risk profile, mode of operations, the timing and mode of IABP, rather than early mortality rate. This correlation was clearly demonstrated during long-term follow-up to 180 months (8 $\pm$ 4 years) by E. Hemo et al. (2014) series (4) in 188 patients underwent isolated CABG with preventive IABP in 1996-2000, including 24.6% of the off-pump surgeries. All patients were operated  $\leq$ 24 hours of hospitalization. The 30-day mortality was 12.6% (expected mortality was 32.8%), 10-year actual survival was 48.7 $\pm$ 3.5% with better survival with both IMAs approach, rather than in one IMA mode, respectively 62.6 $\pm$ 5.3% and 39.5 $\pm$ 4.4% ( $p<0.001$ ), the analysis was conducted without confidence verification during multifactorial regression analysis. The worse outcomes of long-term survival were detected in patients with cardiogenic shock, rather than in unstable hemodynamics, respectively 35.3 $\pm$ 11.6% and 56.6 $\pm$ 8.2% ( $p<0,049$ ); in women and in men, respectively (31.7 $\pm$ 6% и 58,3 $\pm$ 6%) ( $p<0,001$ ); in patients with peripheral vascular disease, rather than without it, (21.4 $\pm$ 6.3% и 58.8 $\pm$ 6.8%) ( $p<0.001$ ); in off-pump CABG rather than in on-pump mode (23.6 $\pm$ 6% and 67.4 $\pm$ 7.2%, respectively) ( $p<0.001$ ).

The presented parameters of long-term mortality are depending on the following issues: consolidated analysis of long-term outcomes of on-pump and off-pump CABG during 1996-2001; performing of the surgeries in patients with initial clinical instability, cardiogenic shock, and acute MI in 39.1%; 8.4% and 17.7%, respectively; operated in patients with MI <1 week and MI > 30 days, respectively 48,8% and 28,4%; lesion of left main coronary in 55.8% (4).

We selected mostly large mixed cohort series during analysis of long-term outcomes of CABG with IABP (10,11,18,19) (10,11), reflecting best (10) and unsatisfactory long-term outcomes (11), which were mainly depending on patients' risk profile, mode of operation and IABP mode, rather than early mortality rates.

In H. Kamiya et al. Series (2016) (11), analysis of surgery outcomes of 522 patients with IABP, 30-day mortality was 30.8%, which was identical to mortality rate in IABP in operation room and ICU, respectively 31.1% and 28.2%; 6-month mortality was

40%. Survival rate on 1- and 5-year follow-up was respectively 56.6% and 46.8%. The worse outcomes were due to the following: **1).** High risk profile of the patients, out of which 56% were operated in NYHA Class IV; 31.9% and 2.7% - respectively, in patients with chronic kidney failure and hemodialysis; **2).** Urgent operations, combined CABG, and isolated interventions on valves, respectively 67%, 21.4% and 12.5%; heart transplantation in 4% cases; **3).** Post-op hemodialysis in 31.7% of patients; **4).** Exclusion from analysis 14.9% of patients, underwent surgery with IABP before operation and 24 hours after operation.

In R. Larusso et al. series (2010) (10), in-hospital mortality in surgery with preventive IABP (n=478) and without IABP (n=478) was 1.2% and 3.7% (p<0.001); 30-day mortality - 2.5% and 5.34% (p<0.001).

Initial risk profile of the patients was presented with cardiogenic shock in 21.3% of cases; AMI in <15 days and >15 days – in 15.6% and 7.5%, respectively; LVEF ≤35% and left main coronary artery lesion in 12.1% and 6.9%, respectively; chronic kidney failure and hemodialysis in 37.4% and 2.5% patients.

Despite of high-risk profile and 43.3% isolated operations on valves, the long-term outcomes in R. Larusso et al. (2010) (10) were significantly different from the of data of H. Kamiya et al. (2016) (11). The long-term survival after operations with preventive IABP and without it, was as follows: up to 5 years follow-up 91.7±3.1% and 95±2.1%, respectively (p=0.34); up to 8 years - 84.3±5.5% and 85.9±6.1%, respectively (p=0.2). The further improvement in LVEF (p<0.001) and decrease in dimensions of the left ventricle (p<0.001) (10).

The better long-term outcomes in R. Larusso et al. (2010) series than in H. Kamiya et al. (2016) series are explained: **1).** Preventive use of IABP; **2).** Fivefold less ratio of urgent CABG (1:5,4), respectively 12.3% and 67%; **3).** Less volume (1:1.8) of combined CABG, respectively 11.9% and 21.4%.

The acceptable long-term survival and mortality after CABG with IABP in patients underwent surgery after 2000 are characterized by relatively less stability of good long-term outcomes. Notwithstanding relatively low mortality (0% and 3%) during 38.55±22.7 and 42.5±42.6 months of follow-up after isolated CABG with IABP (1,20), there were no significant difference in long-term survival and outcomes stability parameters between patients operated with preventive IABP and without it, the long-term outcome stability was identical up to 12 months in follow-up of both groups, i.e., 69% (20) (рис2). The similar non-significant difference of the long-term survival was observed in patients operated with preventive IABP and without it, R. Larusso et al. (2010) (10).

The consolidated stability parameters of good long-term outcomes of isolated and combined CABG up to 36 months were 45.5% (19).

## CONCLUSION

The long-term outcomes of CABG with IABP are depending on the initial risk profile of the patients, surgery mode and timing, IABP mode and timing concerning unstable hemodynamics. IABP itself has certain influence on early outcomes of CABG with IABP and, therefore, should be considered as non-direct predictor of long-term surgery outcomes.

**Conflict of Interests:** The author declares that there are no conflict of interests.

**Financial Disclosure:** There are no financial supports.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## REFERENCES

1. He X-Y, Gao Ch-Q. Peri-operative application of intra-aortic balloon pumping reduced in-hospital mortality of patients with coronary artery disease and left ventricular dysfunction. *Chinese Med J.* 2019;132:936-42.
2. Kucuker A, Cetin L, Kucuker SA, et al. Single-centre experience with perioperative use of intraaortic balloon pump in cardiac surgery? *Heart Lung Circulation.* 2014;23475-81.
3. Pilarczyk K, Boening A, Jakob H, et al. Preoperative intra-aortic counterpulsation in high-risk patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *EJCTS.* 2016;49:5-17.
4. Zangrillo A, Pappalardo F, Dossi R, et al. Preoperative intra-aortic balloon pump to reduce mortality in coronary artery bypass graft: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Critical Care.* 2015;19:10.
5. Sá MP, Ferraz PE, Escobar RR, et al. Prophylactic intra-aortic balloon pump in high-risk patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Coron Artery Dis.* 2012;23:480-6.
6. DyubAM, Whitlock RP, Abouzahr LL, Cina CS. Preoperative intra-aortic balloon pump in patients undergoing coronary bypass surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Card Surg.* 2008;23:79-86.
7. Deppe AC, Weber C, Liakopoulos OJ, et al. Preoperative intraaortic balloon pump use in high-risk patients prior to coronary artery bypass graft surgery decreases the risk for morbidity and mortality-A meta-analysis of 9,212 patients. *J Card Surg.* 2017;32:177-85.
8. Rampersad PP, Udell JA, Zawi R, et al. Preoperative intraaortic balloon pump improves early outcomes following high-risk coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized trials and prospective study design. *J Invasive Cardiol.* 2018;30:2-9.
9. Poirier Y, Voisine P, Plourde G, et al. Efficacy and safety of preoperative intra-aortic balloon pump use in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol.* 2016;207:67-79.

10. Gatti G, Morra L, Castaldi G, et al. Preoperative intra-aortic Counterpulsation in cardiac surgery: insights from a retrospective series of 588 consecutive high-risk patients. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2018;32:2077-86.
11. Zhang J, Lang Y, Guo L, et al. Preventive use of intra-aortic balloon pump in patients undergoing high-risk coronary artery bypass grafting: a retrospective study. *Med Sci Monit.* 2015;21:855-60.
12. Shah SMA, Awan NI, Jan A, Rehman MU. Characteristics, morbidity and mortality factors associated with Intra-Aortic Balloon Pump in Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery patients. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2020;36:1318-24.
13. Escutia-Cuevas HH, Suárez-Cuenca JA, Espinoza-Rueda MA, et al. Preoperative use of intra-aortic balloon pump support reduced 30-day mortality in a population with LVEF>35% and high surgical risk after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Cardiology.* 2020;145:267-74.
14. Mottahedi B, Esfahanizadeh J, Alizadeh K, Shaye za. the evaluation of survival in patients who need intra aortic balloon pump (IABP) after cardiac surgery. *J Cardiothorac Med.* 2014;2:227-30.
15. Jiang X, Zhu Z, Ye M, et al. Clinical application of intra-aortic balloon pump in patients with cardiogenic shock during the perioperative period of cardiac surgery. *Experimental and Therapeutic Med.* 2017;13:1741-8.
16. Ranucci M, Castelvechio S, Biondi A, et al. A randomized controlled trial of preoperative intra-aortic balloon pump in coronary patients with poor left ventricular function undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery. *Crit Care Med.* 2013;41:2476-83.
17. Elbadawy MA, Elshafey MA, Abdelhady M, et al. Elective intra-aortic balloon pump in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft. *Int J Adv Res.* 2020;8:1068-74.
18. Kamiya H, Schilling M, Akhyari P, et al. Outcome analysis for prediction of early and long-term survival in patients receiving intra-aortic balloon pumping after cardiac surgery. *Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2016;64:584-91.
19. Musayev KK, Abdullayev FZ, Aliyev RA. Predictors of mortality in surgical treatment of acute coronary syndrome with intraoperative intra-aortic balloon pumping *Grudnaya i serdechno-sosudistaya chirurgia.* 2011;5:10-6.
20. Arafa OE, Pedersen TH, Svennevig JL, et al. Intraaortic balloon pump in open heart operations: 10-year follow-up with risk analysis. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1998;65:741-7.
21. Naunheim KS, Swartz MT, Pennington DG, et al. Intraaortic balloon pumping in patients requiring cardiac operations. Risk analysis and long-term follow-up. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1992;104:1654-60.
22. Parissis H, Leotsinidis M, Akbar MT, et al. The need for intra aortic balloon pump support following open heart surgery: risk analysis and outcome. *J Cardiothoracic Surg.* 2010;5:20-27.
23. Lorusso R, Gelsomino S, Carella R, et al. Impact of prophylactic intra-aortic balloon counter-pulsation on postoperative outcome in high-risk cardiac surgery patients:a multicentre, propensity-score analysis. *EJCTS.* 2010;38:585-91.
24. Ergüneş K, Yurekli I, Celik E, et al. Predictors of intra-aortic balloon pump insertion in coronary surgery and mid-term results. *Korean J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2013;46:444-48.
25. Hemo E, Medalion B, Mohr R, et al. Long-term outcomes of coronary artery bypass grafting patients supported preoperatively with an intra-aortic balloon pump. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2014;148:1869-75.
26. Nakamura K, Hamasaki A, Uchida T, et al. The use of prophylactic intra-aortic balloon pump in high-risk patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *PLoS ONE.* 2019;14:e 0224273.